

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,171	4,171 09/04/2001		Ming-Dou Ker	0941-0316P-SP 9842	
2292	7590	10/22/2003		EXAM	INER
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BIR	FENTY,	FENTY, JESSE A	
		A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2815	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/944,171	KER ET AL.				
	Examiner \\	Art Unit				
	Jesse A. Fenty	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	ntion(c):					
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment</li> </ul>						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Section 1.		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-26.						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, applicant argues that Figure 10 denotes large-area diodes, not stacked diodes. Figure 10 clearly shows stacked diodes in the configuration of the claimed invention. Jun does not make a distinction between the two types. According to Figure 10 as well as the disclosure, the stacked diodes are large-area diodes. The two types are not mutually exclusive. Secondly, the bias configuration of the diodes is very much determined by the value of the Input pulse. The bias configuration then, is more a result of the use or functionality of the device. Third, applicant disagrees that most gate electrodes will be connected to a power line, yet notes that the gate of Jun may be connected to a triggering mechanism. A triggering mechanism equates to a power line. Lastly, applicant notes that the well (14) is not a source/drain region. However, applicant is reponsible for the entire disclosure of the prior art. Upon cursory examination, the source/dain region (32) appears to satisfy the limitation of claim 13..

JEROME JACKSON PRINJEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER